### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PATRICIA MEDVIC	:
2829 Lee Drive	:
Jamison, PA 18929	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	: No
v.	:
INSIGHT OPTHALMOLOGY, PC	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
251 Sagamore Road	:
Havertown, PA 19083	:
and	:
DR. PAUL C. EZELL, MD	:
251 Sagamore Road	:
Havertown, PA 19083	:
Defendants.	: :
	:

#### CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

### I. INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Patricia Medvic (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) to redress violations by Insight Ophthalmology, PC and Dr. Paul C. Ezell, MD (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants," unless indicated otherwise) of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA" - 29 U.S.C. § 201, et. seq.) and applicable state law(s).

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This action is initiated pursuant to a federal law. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under the laws of the United States. This

Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims because they arise out of the same circumstances and are based upon a common nucleus of operative fact.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants' contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), venue is properly laid in this district because Defendants are residents of this district.

### III. PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant Insight Ophthalmology, PC (*hereinafter* referred to as "Defendant Entity") is a Pennsylvania-based, domestic professional corporation in the business of providing ophthalmology services.
- 8. Defendant Dr. Paul C. Ezell, MD (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant Individual") is the owner and president of Defendant Entity who controls and manages the terms and conditions of employment for employees (including Plaintiff), including but not limited to hiring, firing, issuing discipline, and compensation.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

### IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff was hired by Bernardino Medical Associates (hereinafter "BMA") in or about 1979 and worked at the same practice for approximately 34 years.
- 12. In or about July of 2007, Defendant Individual, a physician at BMA, purchased the practice from the previous owners and created Defendant Entity to carry on the practice.
- 13. From the time that Defendants purchased BMA until on or about June 29, 2012, Plaintiff was earning a salary of approximately \$42,000 per year.
- 14. Defendant Individual continued to have Plaintiff perform the same work as she had for BMA (office manager duties) and never discussed any change in the rate of pay of Plaintiff.
- 15. From in or about June 29, 2012 until in or about the end of 2012, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff's wages for any of the work that she had performed.
- 16. Although Plaintiff worked approximately the same amount of hours per week before June 29, 2012 and for the remainder of the year, Plaintiff was paid approximately \$24,907.50 before June 29, 2012 and nothing thereafter. Although Defendants had failed to pay Plaintiff for any of the work that she had performed after June 29, 2012, Defendant Individual continued to assure her that her pay was forthcoming and provided excuses as to why he could not pay her including but not limited to that her paychecks had been delayed due to a change in payroll companies.
- 17. Plaintiff continued to work until on or about November 22, 2013 and only received approximately two (2) paychecks, consisting of one week's pay each.

- 18. When Plaintiff reported to work on or about November 22, 2013, Plaintiff's employment was terminated by Defendants.
- 19. Following her termination, Plaintiff repeatedly contacted Defendant Individual by text message asking him to pay the wages that are owed to her.
- 20. In response to Plaintiff's aforementioned text messages, Defendant Individuals has repeatedly acknowledged his failure to pay Plaintiff for the work that she has performed.

# Count I <u>Violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA")</u> (Failure to Pay Minimum Wage) - Against Both Defendants -

- 21. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 22. Plaintiff worked approximately 40 hours a week from June 29, 2012 until November 22, 2013 (Approximately 74 weeks) and received wages for only two (2) of those weeks.
  - 23. Plaintiff was clearly paid at a rate below the minimum wage.
- 24. Plaintiff therefore seeks all remedies permitted under the FLSA for unpaid wages, as well as penalties and interest.
- 25. Defendant Individual is personally liable because he was high-level management responsible for the terms and conditions of employment for Plaintiff including but not limited to her compensation. Defendant Individual was also responsible for perpetuating company-wide unlawful payroll practices and ratifying same. Additionally, Defendant Individual personally deceived Plaintiff regarding her compensation.

# Count II <u>Violations of the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA")</u> (Failure to Pay Minimum Wage) - Against Both Defendants -

- 26. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 27. Defendants' failure to pay minimum wage in the aforesaid manners also constitutes a violation of the PMWA.
- 28. Defendant Individual is personally liable because he was high-level management responsible for the terms and conditions of employment for Plaintiff including but not limited to her compensation. Defendant Individual was also responsible for perpetuating company-wide unlawful payroll practices and ratifying same. Additionally, Defendant Individual personally deceived Plaintiff regarding her compensation.

# Count III <u>Violation of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment Collection Law ("WPCL")</u> (43 P.S. 260.3(a)-(b)) - Against Both Defendants -

- 29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 30. Plaintiff had an agreement with Defendants whereby Defendants agreed to compensate Plaintiff for all services she performed during her employment.
- 31. Defendants failed to compensate Plaintiff for all wages owed during her employment.
- 32. Plaintiff performed the agreed-upon services for Defendants, and Defendants failed to properly compensate Plaintiff for the services rendered as specified by the Parties' employment agreement (included but not limited to paying Plaintiff for all hours worked).

- 33. Defendant Individual is personally liable because he was high-level management responsible for the terms and conditions of employment for Plaintiff including but not limited to her compensation. Defendant Individual was also responsible for perpetuating company-wide unlawful payroll practices and ratifying same. Additionally, Defendant Individual personally deceived Plaintiff regarding her compensation.
- 34. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law.

## Count IV <u>Breach of Contract</u> - Against Both Defendants -

- 35. The averments of the foregoing paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.
- 36. Plaintiff and Defendants had an agreement that amounts to an enforceable contract for Plaintiff to be paid for services she performed for Defendants.
- 37. The aforesaid contract has been breached by Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff the wages that she is owed under the contract.
  - 38. Plaintiff has clearly suffered damages as a result of Defendants' breach.
- 39. These allegations as aforesaid constitute violations of Pennsylvania's common law doctrine of Breach of Contract.

## Count V <u>Promissory Estoppel / Detrimental Reliance</u> - Against Both Defendants -

- 40. The averments of the foregoing paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth fully herein.
  - 41. Defendants promised to pay Plaintiff for all hours that she worked while

employed with Defendants.

- 42. Plaintiff relied on Defendants promises and performed worked for Defendants; however, Plaintiff was not paid for all the work she performed.
- 43. Defendants should have reasonably foreseen that their promises to pay Plaintiff would induce Plaintiff to stay in her position at Defendants for an extended period of time.
- 44. Plaintiff was in fact induced to stay in her position at Defendants for approximately 74 weeks without being paid for any of the services she performed (with the exception of two weeks as discussed *supra*).
- 45. Injustice will result if Plaintiff is not compensated for all the work she performed for Defendants (as she was promised) because Plaintiff detrimentally relied on the promises made to her.
- 46. These allegations as aforesaid constitute violations of Pennsylvania's common law doctrine of Promissory Estoppel.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendants are to promulgate and adhere to a policy prohibiting payroll violations and breach of contract.
- B. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' wrongful actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, and bonuses.
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded actual damages, as well as damages for the pain, suffering, and humiliation caused by Defendants' actions;

### Case 2:14-cv-01302-LDD Document 1 Filed 03/04/14 Page 8 of 11

- D. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive and/or liquidated damages as permitted by applicable law in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendants for their willful, deliberate, malicious, and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendants or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- E. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate;
- F. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and a reasonable attorney's fees if permitted by applicable law; and
  - G. Plaintiff is permitted to have a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

Ari R. Karpf, Esquire

Katie A. Pilgren-Beatty, Esq.

5331 Street Road

Building 2, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: March 3, 2014

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

PATRICIA MED	∕IC ;		CIVIL ACTION	
v.		•		
INSIGHT OPTHALMOL	OGY, PC, et al.	*	NO.	
filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend	a Case Management serve a copy on all d the event that a defi ant shall, with its fir r parties, a Case Ma	efendants. (sendant does endant does endant does endant does endant does endant Timagement Timageme	y Reduction Plan of this court, couns gnation Form in all civil cases at the times of \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding the, submit to the clerk of court and ser rack Designation Form specifying the gned.	me o verse g said
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLLOWING C	ASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca	ses brought under 2	8 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	
(b) Social Security – Ca and Human Services			ion of the Secretary of Health y Benefits.	
(c) Arbitration - Cases 1	equired to be design	nated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
		ersonal inju	y or property damage from	
exposure to asbestos				( )
commonly referred t	o as complex and th	at need spec	cks (a) through (d) that are ial or intense management by d explanation of special	
management cases.)			•	( )
(f) Standard Manageme	nt – Cases that do n	ot fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)
3/4/2014	Aut D. IZ. C		DI 1 100	
Date	Ari R. Karpf Attorney-		Plaintiff  Attorney for	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4		akarpf@karpf-law.com	
Telephone	FAX Nun	nher	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### Case 2:14-cv-01302-LDD Document 1 Filed 03/04/14 Page 10 of 11

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose o	f		
Address of Plaintiff: 2829 Lee Drive, Jamison, PA 18929				
Address of Defendant: 251 Sagamore Road, Havertown, PA 19083	Address of Defendant: 251 Sagamore Road, Havertown, PA 19083			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business (Use Reverse Side For.)	Additional Space)	<u> </u>		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more acti			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a				
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.X	_		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
	Date Tellimated.			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	rear previously terminated action in this court?			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes NoO			
action in this court?	suit persuing of within one year previously terminated			
2. Dono this constitution the validity on infilm on the firm of the standard o	Yes□ No□			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?	numbered case pending or within one year previously  Yes \( \text{No} \)			
	100			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	its case filed by the same individual?			
	Yes□ No□			
CIVIL: (Place / in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		_		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1.   Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury			
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3.   Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury			
5. Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. X Labor-Management Relations	6.   Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. D Civil Rights	7. Products Liability			
8.   Habeas Corpus	8. D Products Liability - Asbestos			
9.   Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)		-		
ARBITRATION CERT				
I, Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby certi	fy:			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
	A DIZAGA			
DATE: 3/4/2014  Attorney-at-Law	ARK2484			
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# 91538 ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court			
DATE: 3/4/2014	ARK2484			
Attoriesy-at-Law CDV 609 (5/2012)	Attorney 1.D.# 91538			

### \*JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08) Case 2:14-cv-01302-LDD Document 1 Filed 03/04/14 Page 11 of 11

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDANTS			
, ·	ICIA	INSIGHT OPTHALMOLOGY, PC, et al.			
MEDVIC, PATR					
	e of First Listed Plaintiff Bucks	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Delaware			
Karpf, Karpf & Ce Two Greenwood	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Adder erutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Square, Suite 128, Bensalem, 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.co	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>4 Diversity</li> <li>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</li> </ul>	Citizen of Another State			
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	RESERVATOR DE LA FARA LA LA CARRESTA DE LA CARRESTA DE LA CARRA LA CARRESTA DE LA CARRA CARRA CARRA CARRA CARRESTA DE LA CARRA			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condennation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  345 More Product Liability  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  385 Property Damage Product Liability  385 Property Damage	1			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (1 Original Proceeding (2 Removed from State Court (3 Appellate Court (3 Appellate Court (4 Reinstated or Reopened (5 Transferred from another district (specify) (5 Equipment (7) Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment (8) Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment (9) Transferred from another district (specify) (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district (specify) (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Transferred from another district Litigation (9) Appeal to District Judgment (9) Appeal to Di					
Violations of the FLSA and applicable state laws					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	O CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND: Yes  No			
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE  DOCKET NUMBER					
54 2014					
DATE!	DATE   SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD				

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset